



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,744	11/15/2001	Jack M. Birnbaum	D02288	7576

43471 7590 11/21/2006

GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION DBA THE CONNECTED
HOME SOLUTIONS BUSINESS OF MOTOROLA, INC.
101 TOURNAMENT DRIVE
HORSHAM, PA 19044

EXAMINER

PRICE, NATHAN E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2194

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,744	BIRNBAUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Price	2194	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Bethea.

(2) Chris Del Sordo.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Nathan Price.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 1

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Thrift, Philip and Todd Killian. "JTV -- Java-enabled Television." February 1998, Proceedings of SPIE, Vol. 3228, pages 117-122.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The layers of the claims and ability to operate in different environments were discussed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MENG-LAI T. AU
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 CLASSIFIED 2100

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)